



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,871	10/23/2003	Steven P. Schwinke	GP-303943 6741	
7590 07/21/2005			EXAMINER	
General Motors Corporation			KIM, WESLEY LEO	
Mail Code 482-	-C23-B21			
300 Renaissance Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			2683	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/691,871	SCHWINKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wesley L. Kim	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/14/0	05.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/23/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ratent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/691,871

Art Unit: 2683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9-11,14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizikovsky (U.S. Patent 5559860) in view of Brennan (U.S. Patent 5903628).

Regarding Claims 1, 9, and 17, Mizikovsky teaches a method for operating a telematics unit (Col.3;63, i.e. mobile station) within a mobile vehicle (Col.3;64, i.e. vehicle), the method comprising: receiving an incoming call signal (Col.4;50-64), the incoming call signal including an origin identifier (Col.4;50-64); determining an answer mode (determination performed by caller ID processor) based on the origin identifier of the incoming call signal (Col.6;18-21 and Col.6;30-Col.7;38, response category that the user assigns to the assembled calling party identification data is the answer mode); initiating the answer mode responsive to the answer mode determination (Col.6;30-67, ringing, muting a ringing alert, and activating a voice mail device are a couple examples of initiating the answer mode responsive to the answer mode determination); however Mizikovsky is silent on operating the telematics unit based on the initiated answer mode.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,871

Art Unit: 2683

Brennan teaches a controller in a telephone station comparing the incoming caller information with caller information in stored records (Col.3;19-24) and determining an answer mode (Col.3;32-37) and initiating the answer mode responsive to the answer mode determination and then operating the telematics unit based on the initiated answer mode (Col.3;48-Col.4;17, the telematics unit is operated in one-way mode, two-way mode, or is left to ring until the user answers the call by pressing the answer button).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mizikovsky, such that the telematics unit is operated based on the initiated answer mode, to provide a method for automatically connecting pre-selected callers for someone in a hands-busy situation (i.e. driving) or a handicapped individual.

Regarding Claims 2 and 10, the combination as discussed above teaches all the limitations as recited in claims 1 and 10, and Brennan further teaches the origin identifier is selected from the group consisting of: an automatic number identifier, and a digital signature (Col.3;19-24 and Fig.3;48, automatic number identifier is the telephone number and the digital signature is the name).

Regarding Claim 3 and 11, the combination as discussed above teaches all the limitations as recited in claims 1 and 10, and Brennan further teaches determining the answer mode comprises: determining a first answer mode when the origin identifier is within a predetermined group of origin identifiers (Col.3;19-

Application/Control Number: 10/691,871

Art Unit: 2683

67); and determining a second answer mode when the origin identifier is not within the predetermined group of origin identifiers (Col.4;4-17).

Regarding Claims 6 and 14, the combination as discussed above teaches all the limitations as recited in claims 3 and 11, and Brennan further teaches operating the telematics unit based on the second answer mode (Col.4;4-10, incoming call name and/or number not in list) comprises: directing the incoming call signal to a user interface within the telematics unit (Col.4;4-10, unless an "answer" button on the user interface is pressed the call signal will not be connected to the telematics unit).

Regarding Claims 7 and 15, the combination as discussed above teaches all the limitations as recited in claims 6 and 14, and Brennan further teaches connecting the incoming call signal to the user interface within the telematics unit responsive to a user interface activation (Col.4;4-10, pressing the "answer" button will connect the incoming call signal with the user interface within the telematics unit).

 Claims 4, 5, 8, 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizikovsky (U.S. Patent 5559860) and Brennan (U.S. Patent 5903628) in further view of Park et al (U.S. Patent 6148212).

Regarding Claims 4 and 12, Mizikovsky and Brennan teach all the limitations as recited in claims 3 and 11, however the combination is silent on the telematics unit based on the first answer mode comprising directing the incoming call signal to a vehicle information controller within the telematics unit.

Mizikovsky teaches the first answer mode comprises directing incoming call signals to accessory devices (Col.6;51-55).

Park teaches directing the incoming call signal to a vehicle information controller (Col.3;30-45, call gets directed to the PUI (i.e. vehicle information controller)) within the telematics unit (Col.5;7-19, mobile phone(230) connected to vehicle information controller(250)).

It would have been obvious to modify Mizikovsky and Brennan, such that the telematics unit based on the first answer mode comprising directing the incoming call signal to a vehicle information controller within the telematics unit, such that different functions such as turning off the engine (or other theft-deterring feature) can be activated without alerting the thief.

Regarding Claims 5 and 13, Mizikovsky and Brennan teach all the limitations as recited in claims 4 and 12, however the combination is silent on connecting the incoming call signal to the vehicle information controller within the telematics unit.

Park teaches connecting the incoming call signal to a vehicle information controller (Col.3;30-45, call gets directed to the PUI (i.e. vehicle information controller)) within the telematics unit (Col.5;7-19, mobile phone(230) connected to vehicle information controller(250)).

Regarding Claim 8 and 16, Mizikovsky and Brennan teach all the limitations as recited in claims 6 and 15, however the combination is silent on

determining the user interface is not activated; and activating an electronic voicemail system.

Park teaches a call is transferred to voice mail after a wireless telephone fails to accept the call (Col.4;22-30).

It would have been obvious to modify Mizikovsky and Brennan, such that upon determining the user interface is not activated; and activating an electronic voice-mail system, to provide a method of answering the call via the voice mail system so the calling party may leave an audio message.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 6687587B2, Kacel, Method and System for Managing Vehicle
 Control Modules through Telematics.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wesley L. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-7867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,871 Page 7

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WLK

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600